UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,200	12/15/2003	Steve Dispensa	007230.00014	9098
22907 BANNER & W	7590 06/16/201 ITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STRI		BURGESS, BARBARA N		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON	N, DC 20005-4051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2457	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/737,200	DISPENSA ET AL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		BARBARA BURGESS	2457			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 De</u>	ecember 2009.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 4,6,7,10-18 and 20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4,6,7,10-18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2-18-11, 9-8-10.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/737,200 Page 2

Art Unit: 2457

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Petition Granted to reinstate application from Abandonment on 3-18-11 and Amendment filed 12-22-09. Claims 5, 8-9, 19 have been cancelled as requested by Applicant. Claims 4, 6-7, 10-18 are presented for further examination. Claim 20 is newly added and presented for initial examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hesselink et al. (hereinafter "Hess", US Patent Publication 2003/0191848 A1).

As per claim 20, Hess discloses a system comprising:

at least one access server including a virtual private network module configured to implement a secure communication channel between a virtual private network module resident in a remote computing client and the at least one access server (paragraphs [0003, 0009, 0017]),

wherein the virtual private network module in the at least one access server is configured to:

receive, from the virtual private network module resident in the remote computing client, a public portion of a first encryption data set in a first session set-up message, generate a second encryption data set corresponding to the first session set-up message, the second encryption data set comprising a public portion and a private portion (paragraphs [0063-64, 0069]),

encrypt the public portion of the second encryption data set with a private key of the at least one access server (paragraphs [0056, 0072, 0062]),

transmit, to the virtual private network module resident in the remote computing client, the encrypted public portion of the second encryption data set in a second session setup message (paragraphs [0067, 0070]), and

if decryption of the encrypted second public portion of the second encryption data set is successful, establish a session with the virtual private network module in the remote computing client (paragraphs [0076, 0087-88]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4, 6-7, 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araujo et al. (hereinafter "Ara", US Patent Publication 2003/0191799 A1) in view of Hesselink et al. (hereinafter "Hess", US Patent Publication 2003/0191848 A1).

As per claim 4, Ara discloses a system to provide remote computing client access to resources provided by at least one server in at least one target computing network, comprising:

a point-of-presence node configured to connect to the at least one target computing network (paragraphs [0029-0030, 0060-0061], Ara teaches a Virtual Office Server (point-of-presence node) connected to a LAN (target computing network);

at least one Internet Protocol Security concentrator resident in the point of presence node (paragraphs [0060-0061, 0063, 0069], Ara teaches the Virtual Office Server having an SSL and firewall/router for providing secure, remote, web-based access);

at least one access server resident in the point of presence node, wherein the at least one access server comprises a virtual private network module configured to implement a secure communication channel between the remote computing client and the at least one server in the at least one target communication network (paragraphs [0009, 0027, 0057, 0060-0061], Ara teaches a Virtual Office Server providing the user with a "virtual

office". The user is provided a secure, remote connection to various office processing applications. The user must provide username and password to logon to virtual office capability).

Ara does not explicitly disclose the newly added limitations.

However, these limitations are well-known to one of ordinary skill in the art as evidenced by Hess as shown below:

wherein the remote computing client comprises a virtual private network module configured to cooperate with the virtual private network module resident in the point of presence node (paragraphs [0003, 0009, 0017]),

wherein the virtual private network module in the remote computing client and the virtual private module in the at least one access server are configured to establish an encrypted communication channel between a specific application executing; on the remote computing client and the point of presence node (paragraphs [0003, 0009, 0017]),

wherein the virtual private network module in the remote computing client is configured to:

generate a first encryption data set comprising a public portion and a private portion (paragraphs [0063-64, 0069]),

transmit the public portion of the first encryption data set to the virtual private network module in the at least one access server in a first session set-up message (paragraphs [0062-64]),

Application/Control Number: 10/737,200

Art Unit: 2457

wherein the virtual private network module in the at least one access server is configured to:

receive the public portion of the first encryption data set in the first session set-up message (paragraphs [0063-64]),

generate a second encryption data set corresponding to the first session set-up message, the second encryption data set comprising a public portion and a private (paragraphs [0063-64, 0069]),

encrypt the public portion of the second encryption data set with a private key ot~ the at least one access server (paragraphs [0056, 0072, 0062]),

transmit the encrypted public portion of the second encryption data set in a second session set-up message (paragraphs [0067, 0070]),

wherein the virtual private network module in the remote computing client further is configured to:

receive the encrypted public portion of the second encryption data set in the second session set-up message (paragraphs [0064, 0069]),

decrypt the encrypted public portion of the second encryption data set (paragraphs [0070-72]),

if decryption is successful, establish a session between the virtual private network module in the remote computing client and the virtual private network module in the at least one access server (paragraphs [paragraphs [0076, 0087-88]).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Hess's encryption/decryption of public/private portions in Ara's system providing routing platforms wherein users can send command data to multiple remote devices of the same type, as well as send collaborative data to other users.

As per claim 6, Ara discloses the system of claim 5, wherein:

the virtual private network module in the remote computing client communicates with the virtual private network module in the at least one access server using a message exchange mode (paragraphs [0088-0089]);

the virtual private network module in the remote computing client receives application layer data from at least one application executing on the remote computing client (paragraphs [0089, 0096]).

As per claim 7, Ara discloses the system of claim 6, wherein the virtual private network module in the at least one access server is configured to implement a proxy client for at least one application executing on the remote computing device (paragraphs [0061, 0063, 0100]).

As per claim 10, Ara discloses the system of claim 5, wherein the remote computing device further comprises a reconfiguration system module configured to collect system

Art Unit: 2457

configuration data relating to the remote computing device, generates a system configuration file, and stores the system configuration file in a memory module in the remote computing device (paragraphs [0063, 0069]).

As per claim 11, Ara discloses the system of claim 10, wherein the at least one access server comprises:

a central policy manager module that configured to establishe configuration policies for one or more remote clients that access resources via the virtual private network module (paragraphs [0063, 0069]);

a reconfiguration system module configured to cooperate with the reconfiguration system module in the remote computing device to impose configuration changes on the remote computing device (paragraphs [0069]).

As per claim 12, Ara discloses the system of claim 10, wherein the reconfiguration system configured to implement an atomic reconfiguration process on the remote computing device (paragraph [0085]).

As per claim 13, Ara discloses the system of claim 5, wherein the remote computing

device comprises a local proxy module that emulates an HTTP proxy server

Page 9

(paragraphs [0129, 0134]).

As per claim 14, Ara discloses the system of claim 10, wherein the remote computing device comprises a client application tunneling module, wherein the client application tunneling module configured to extract destination IP addresses and port numbers from communication packets and invokes the reconfiguration system module to reconfigure a name-to-address mapping for communications between the remote computing device and an application executing on a remote server (paragraphs [0076-0077]).

As per claim 15, Ara discloses the system of claim 5, wherein at least one server in the point of presence node further comprises a network address translation module configured to perform network address translation on incoming and outgoing packets to enable remote access to resources on one or more networks outside the target computing network (paragraphs [0030, 0066, 0072]).

As per claim 16, Ara discloses the system of claim 15, wherein the network address translation module is configured to automatically determine a network configuration for the at least one target computing network (paragraphs [0072, 0076]).

As per claim 17, Ara discloses the system of claim 5, wherein:

the at least one access server comprises a first network backup module (paragraph [0073]);

the remote computing device comprises a second network backup module (paragraph [0093]);

the first network backup module and the second network backup module configured to cooperate to back up and restore one or more files from the remote at least one access server (paragraphs [0093, 0097]).

As per claim 18, Ara discloses the system of claim 17, wherein the first network backup module configured to maintain incremental backups of files used by the remote computing client (paragraph [0073]).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,200 Page 11

Art Unit: 2457

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BARBARA BURGESS whose telephone number is (571)272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/737,200 Page 12

Art Unit: 2457

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Barbara N Burgess/ Examiner, Art Unit 2457

June 15, 2011

/Barbara N Burgess/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2457 Barbara N Burgess Primary Examiner Art Unit 2457